



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,388	06/08/2000	Masamichi Nakashiba	2000-0722	9958
75	590 05/13/2002			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			EXAMINER	
2033 K Street NW sUITE 800 Washington, DC 20006			NGUYEN, GEORGE BINH MINH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		'	3723	
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

·		<i>y</i>)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/589,388	NAKASHIBA ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George Nguyen	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Pension and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	it's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	•			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:		finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered and an low or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:				
Claim(s) allowed: 1-11.					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-17,38-56,68-71 and 73-86</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18-37,57-67,72 and 87</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disar	oproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1 /			
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet George Ngu Primary Exa		Seorge Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723			

Continuation of 10. Other: Upon carefully reviewing the specification, the following observations are made: a) there is no support in the specification for the limitation "wherein a radial width ... said central area" in claims 73 and 80". Therefore, it is unclear how a radial width is determined; b) there is no support in the specification for the limitation of "independently adjustable pressures" or similar languages in claims 12, 38, 45, 49, 68, 70, 71. Please note that in col. 5, lines 35-43, "variable independently" language was found to describe the relationship between F1 (pressing force on wafer) and F2 (pressing force on presser ring 3). These are not pressing forces on concentric areas A1-3 on the wafer. Therefore, it is unclear what is meant by "independently adjustable pressure". Thus, Hoshizaki still reads on the claims..